



UNDERSTANDING THE PAST, UNDERSTANDING THE TERRITORY: HISTORY EDUCATION AND TOURISM IN PROMOTING SOCIOCULTURAL DEVELOPMENT

Rodrigo Luis dos Santos¹

Abstract: This article examines the possibilities for connecting History Education, territory, memory, cultural heritage, and Tourism, considering archives, museums, collections, landscapes, and cultural practices as spaces for interpreting social experiences. It assumes that the past is not grasped through the mere accumulation of information, but through the critical investigation of sources, narratives, and evidence in processes that connect past, present, and future. Territory is understood as a historical and social construct shaped by uses, senses of belonging, power relations, inequalities, and memory disputes. Heritage, in turn, is understood as the result of processes of selection and value attribution involving tangible and intangible assets and different social actors. The aim is to discuss the conditions under which the intersection of History Education and Tourism can contribute to cultural appreciation, community participation, and sociocultural development without reducing heritage to a commodity or presenting the past as a homogeneous narrative. The research adopts a qualitative approach, an exploratory design, and an analytical-interpretive orientation, based on bibliographic and documentary research. The analysis brings together studies on the theory of History, History Education, memory, heritage, territory, and Tourism, as well as Brazilian regulatory documents and heritage charters. It argues that tourism experiences can provide opportunities for historical learning when they promote critical interpretation, diverse sources, community participation, and democratic access to cultural assets. This contribution, however, depends on responsible planning, inclusive public policies, and attention to the silences, inequalities, and conflicts that shape territories.

Keywords: History Education; Territory; Cultural Heritage; Cultural Tourism; Memory.

CONHECER O PASSADO, COMPREENDER O TERRITÓRIO: EDUCAÇÃO HISTÓRICA E TURISMO NA PROMOÇÃO DO DESENVOLVIMENTO SOCIOCULTURAL

Resumo: Este artigo analisa as possibilidades de articulação entre Educação Histórica, território, memória, patrimônio cultural e Turismo, considerando arquivos, museus, acervos, paisagens e práticas culturais como espaços de interpretação das experiências sociais. Parte-se do entendimento de que o passado não é apreendido pela simples acumulação de informações, mas pela investigação crítica de fontes, narrativas e evidências, em processos que relacionam passado, presente e futuro. O território é compreendido como construção histórica e social, marcada por usos, pertencimentos, relações de poder, desigualdades e disputas de memória. O patrimônio, por sua vez, é entendido como resultado de processos de seleção e atribuição de valor, envolvendo bens materiais e imateriais e diferentes sujeitos sociais. O objetivo é discutir em que condições a aproximação entre Educação Histórica e Turismo pode contribuir para a valorização cultural, a participação comunitária e o desenvolvimento sociocultural, sem reduzir o patrimônio a mercadoria ou apresentar o passado como narrativa homogênea. A pesquisa possui abordagem qualitativa, caráter exploratório e orientação analítico-interpretativa, desenvolvida por meio de pesquisa bibliográfica e documental. A análise articula estudos de teoria da História, Educação Histórica, memória, patrimônio, território e Turismo, além de documentos normativos brasileiros e cartas patrimoniais. Argumenta-se que experiências turísticas podem constituir oportunidades de aprendizagem histórica quando mobilizam interpretação crítica, diversidade de fontes, participação das comunidades e acesso democrático aos bens culturais. Essa contribuição, contudo, depende de planejamento responsável, políticas públicas inclusivas e atenção aos silenciamentos, às desigualdades e aos conflitos que atravessam os territórios.

Palavras-chave: Educação Histórica; Território; Patrimônio cultural; Turismo cultural; Memória.

1 Introduction

PhD in History. Faculty member of the Humanities Academic Area at the University of Caxias do Sul (UCS), working in the undergraduate History program (teaching and bachelor's degrees), the Graduate Program in History (Professional Master's and Doctorate), and the Graduate Program in Tourism and Hospitality. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3447-6026>. Lattes: <http://lattes.cnpq.br/2668730091701426>. Email: rlsantos4@ucs.br.



The intersection of History Education and Tourism makes it possible to examine how people know, interpret, and experience territories, as well as how societies select, preserve, and communicate references to their past. Visiting a historic center, traversing a cultural landscape, entering a museum, consulting an archive, taking part in a celebration, or exploring a former industrial area involves more than spatial movement or the consumption of services. These experiences bring visitors and communities into contact with traces, narratives, practices, and memories produced over time. They can therefore open up opportunities for learning and reflection on the historical formation of places.

This possibility does not arise automatically. A heritage site may be presented as a picturesque setting, an exhibition may privilege only celebrated figures, and a tourist itinerary may turn complex processes into linear, celebratory narratives. The opposite can also occur: when there is qualified mediation, a diversity of sources, and participation by groups connected to the territory, the experience can encourage questions, challenge interpretations, and deepen understanding of the relationships between past, present, and future. The difference lies in the objectives, representational choices, and forms of interaction that structure the experience.

The question guiding this article is: under what conditions can the connection between History Education and Tourism foster critical interpretation of territories, plural appreciation of cultural heritage, and sociocultural development without reproducing inequalities, silences, or the commodification of memories? Answering this question requires consideration of both the educational possibilities of engaging with places and cultural assets and the political, economic, and social limits that shape tourism.

History Education offers tools for addressing this question because it examines how people construct historical knowledge, interpret evidence, and make sense of temporal experiences. In dialogue with Rüsen (2001), historical consciousness can be understood as a form of orientation that connects interpretations of the past with present conditions and future expectations. Learning History, from this perspective, is not limited to memorizing dates and figures: it involves asking questions, contextualizing sources, comparing evidence, recognizing change and continuity, and justifying interpretations.

Territory, in turn, is not merely an area marked on a map. It is produced by social relations, ways of appropriating space, economic activities, public policies, population movements, and senses of belonging. As Santos (2006) suggests, space results from the articulation between systems of objects and systems of actions. This understanding makes it possible to see that streets, squares, factories, schools, churches, markets, rural landscapes, and cultural facilities bear traces of different temporalities, although their meanings are neither unique nor immutable.



Cultural heritage brings these dimensions together. Its definition encompasses tangible and intangible assets related to the identity, actions, and memory of the groups that formed Brazilian society, as stated in Articles 215 and 216 of the Federal Constitution (BRASIL, 1988). However, what is recognized as heritage results from choices and disputes. Preserving a particular building, object, celebration, or cultural practice entails decisions about what deserves to be passed on, who takes part in those decisions, and which experiences are valued or marginalized.

Tourism can help make these references accessible and meaningful, but it can also subordinate them to market expectations. Tourism has economic, social, cultural, and territorial dimensions; its outcomes depend on planning, community participation, and the distribution of benefits and costs. This article therefore does not assume that Tourism is inherently educational or developmental. Rather, it argues that this potential depends on historically grounded interpretation, responsible management, and democratized access.

The overall objective is to analyze how History Education and Tourism can be connected in interpreting territories and valuing cultural heritage, considering their potential effects on social participation and sociocultural development. Specifically, the article seeks to discuss historical learning and historical consciousness; understand the relationships among territory, memory, identity, and heritage; examine memory spaces and tourism experiences as settings for interpretation; and propose methodological possibilities for educational and tourism initiatives rooted in particular territories.

The research is qualitative, exploratory, and analytical-interpretive, based on bibliographic and documentary research. Its framework brings together studies in the theory of History, History Education, Cultural History, memory, archival science, museology, territory, heritage, and Tourism. Documentary analysis considers regulatory frameworks and institutional guidelines relating to cultural rights, tourism policy, and heritage education. The article does not present an empirical case study of a specific municipality; territorial applications are discussed as methodological proposals that can be adapted to different contexts and investigated locally in future research.

The central argument is that integrating History Education and Tourism can broaden the social interpretation of heritage and strengthen sociocultural development when visits become investigations, the plurality of memories is recognized, communities are involved, and practical conditions for access are ensured. To develop this argument, the article addresses historical consciousness and learning; territory, memory, and heritage; tourism interpretation and cultural experiences; the relationships between education, participation, and sociocultural development; and, finally, methodological possibilities for territorial application.



2 History Education, Historical Consciousness, and Interpretation of the Past

Historical knowledge begins with questions directed at traces of human experience. Written documents, photographs, maps, objects, buildings, oral accounts, landscapes, and cultural practices do not, by themselves, provide a complete or transparent representation of the past. They must be situated within the contexts of their production, circulation, use, and preservation, questioned in relation to specific problems, and compared with other evidence. Bloch's contribution (2001) remains central in understanding historical inquiry as work guided by questions rather than the passive collection of information.

The expansion of the concept of historical source, associated with historiographical changes such as those promoted by the Annales School, made it possible to incorporate dimensions that had previously received little attention: everyday life, material culture, labor relations, religious practices, family experiences, sociability, images, and ways of occupying space. Le Goff (1990) draws attention to the historicity of documents and to the fact that their preservation is not neutral. A document is produced under specific circumstances and reaches the present through processes of selection, storage, and transmission. Investigating a source therefore requires asking who produced it, for what purpose, for which audience, under what conditions it circulated, and why it was preserved.

This critical stance is fundamental to History Education. Rather than treating learning as the reproduction of a previously established narrative, this perspective values the development of historical thinking: formulating problems, distinguishing evidence from opinion, contextualizing information, comparing interpretations, and constructing reasoned explanations. Lee (2006) discusses historical literacy as the ability to understand the nature of historical knowledge and use it to interpret the world. Schmidt and Garcia (2005), as well as Schmidt and Urban (2016), emphasize the possibilities of investigating the formation of historical consciousness in learning contexts.

In Rüsen's formulation (2001), historical consciousness involves assigning temporal meaning to experience. People draw on interpretations of the past to understand present situations, guide actions, and imagine possible futures. This capacity is not restricted to historians or schools: it also develops in families, the media, celebrations, museums, monuments, tourist itineraries, and everyday narratives about places. Engagement with the past, however, can vary in complexity. It may reproduce stereotypes and simplified versions or promote inquiry and critical reflection.

Historical learning requires recognizing that the past is not simply recovered exactly as it happened. Historical knowledge is constructed through methodological procedures, interpretation of evidence, and argumentation. This does not mean that all versions are equally valid.



Interpretations must respond to the available sources, consider their limitations, and make explicit the criteria supporting them. Comparing official documents, the press, photographs, objects, and testimonies, for example, can reveal disagreements and silences that would remain invisible in a single source.

Memory and History are related, but they are not the same. Memory consists of experiences, recollections, omissions, and reconstructions that individuals and groups develop from the present. Historical research, in turn, subjects accounts and records to systematic criticism and comparison with other evidence. Nora (1993) shows how certain places, symbols, and institutions can become focal points of memory; Pollak (1989) demonstrates that recollections, silences, and forgetting also express social relations and disputes. Educational work should respect the importance of memory without treating it as immune to analysis.

In tourism, these issues become visible because every interpretive experience organizes a narrative about a place. A guide, sign, app, exhibition, or itinerary selects events, figures, and themes, arranges them in a sequence, and assigns meaning. A former railway station, for example, may be presented only as an architectural landmark or as part of a process involving labor, mobility, economic expansion, migration, urban change, and impacts on different communities. Historical interpretation broadens the range of questions and makes clear that spaces are products of social relations.

An approach guided by History Education can invite visitors to observe evidence and ask questions: who built the building? What activities took place there? Who had access to the space? How did its function change? Which groups appear in photographs and documents? Which experiences are not represented? How do residents interpret this place today? By bringing observation, sources, and historical problems together, a visit becomes more than contemplation and turns into situated inquiry.

Interpretation must also recognize differences among audiences. Children, students, residents, researchers, and occasional visitors have different experiences and prior knowledge. Effective educational mediation does not simplify History until its complexity disappears; instead, it develops accessible forms of communication, with questions, resources, and languages suited to each audience. Photographs, maps, objects, testimonies, timelines, and comparisons between landscapes can foster dialogue between experience and knowledge.

History Education does not require every visit to become a formal lesson. The aim is not to turn Tourism into schooling, but to recognize that cultural experiences can enhance the ability to interpret the world when they encourage curiosity, comparison, contextualization, and reflection. A visit can generate meaningful learning if visitors are encouraged to see that places



have histories, narratives are constructed, and relationships with the past involve choices and responsibilities in the present.

3 Territory, Memory, and Cultural Heritage

Territory is a historical, social, political, and cultural construct. Although it has a material and geographical dimension, it cannot be reduced to administrative boundaries or a physical surface. It is constituted through practices of occupation, movement, work, housing, production, conflict, belonging, and representation. Santos (2006) proposes understanding space through the relationship between objects and actions, making it possible to analyze how infrastructure, buildings, and landscapes connect with activities and social relations. Haesbaert (2004), in turn, highlights the multiplicity of territorialities and the complexity of the ties between people, space, and power.

This approach helps explain why a territory does not have a single history. The same street may be a workplace for some, an emotional reference for others, a commercial space for still others, and a setting of conflict or displacement for different groups. Territorial transformations involve public policies, economic activities, migration, inequalities, environmental relations, and decisions about land use. Today's landscape brings together traces of different temporalities and can be investigated as evidence of change and continuity.

Local history has great potential for bringing research, education, and everyday experience closer together. Neighborhoods, cities, rural communities, schools, factories, markets, churches, cemeteries, stations, squares, and roads can be studied by combining different sources. However, the local scale should not be treated as an isolated universe. A factory's history may relate to national technological and economic changes; a community's trajectory may form part of broader migration processes; and the transformation of an urban center may be linked to state, national, or global policies and networks. Connecting scales situates local experiences within wider processes.

Territory can be understood as a “living archive” in which material traces, practices, memories, and disputes overlap. A preserved building does not speak for itself: it is necessary to understand its uses, the people who occupied it, the activities it housed, and the meanings attributed to it at different times. Similarly, a landscape should not be interpreted solely by its appearance. It results from human actions, natural processes, political choices, and forms of appropriation that can be reconstructed through documentary, visual, oral, and material sources.

Memory and territory are closely related because groups assign meaning to places and objects through their experiences. Halbwachs (1990) demonstrates the social dimension of memory, which is formed within collective frameworks; Nora (1993) analyzes places that become



repositories of memory; and Pollak (1989) draws attention to conflicts involving remembrance, silence, and forgetting. These contributions help explain that memories are not distributed equally. Some groups have greater access to institutions, writing, and communication channels, while others face obstacles to recording and transmitting their experiences.

Cultural heritage should be understood as a social process of recognition and value attribution, not merely as a collection of old assets that have survived over time. Heritage designation involves choices about which references will be preserved, how they will be interpreted, and to whom they will be communicated. Choay (2001) discusses the historical development of the concept of heritage and the effects of its transformation into an object of appreciation and consumption. Funari and Pelegrini (2006) highlight the broadening of historical and cultural heritage to include references connected to groups and practices beyond traditional monuments.

The 1988 Federal Constitution provides a fundamental framework by recognizing, in Articles 215 and 216, cultural rights and tangible and intangible heritage related to the identity, actions, and memory of the different groups that formed Brazilian society (Brazil, 1988). This concept includes buildings, documents, objects, urban ensembles, and sites, as well as forms of expression and ways of creating, making, and living. Intangible heritage includes knowledge, celebrations, practices, and forms of cultural transmission that remain dynamic and subject to change.

Legal recognition, however, does not eliminate disputes over what deserves preservation. Valuing certain buildings or figures can consolidate official narratives and marginalize the experiences of workers, women, Indigenous peoples, Black communities, migrants, religious minorities, and other groups. This does not mean that every heritage selection is deliberately exclusionary, but the criteria for recognition must be critically examined. The absence of a particular group from a museum or itinerary can itself become a research question.

Fonseca (2003) contributes to a broad conception of heritage that goes beyond monumental materiality and recognizes diverse cultural references. From this perspective, heritage education should encourage critical and social engagement with cultural assets rather than merely transmit information about them. IPHAN guidelines (2014) emphasize the collective construction of knowledge and the participation of those who produce and experience cultural references. Communities should not be seen merely as sources of testimony or as audiences for a ready-made policy, but as participants in decisions about recognition, preservation, and communication.

Identity should also be understood as a historical process rather than an immutable essence. Belonging is produced through relationships with places, practices, symbols, and memories, but it can be multiple, contradictory, and changing. A territory may contain different, sometimes



conflicting, narratives about its origins and trajectory. Presenting local identity as homogeneous can conceal internal disputes and differences; recognizing plurality, on the other hand, does not require abandoning shared references, but understanding how they were constructed and negotiated.

Heritage appreciation must therefore bring preservation, interpretation, and participation together. Physically conserving a building is not enough if its history is presented out of context or if related groups are excluded from decision-making. Nor is it enough to promote a cultural practice as an attraction if its continuation depends on communities that do not share in the benefits or control how they are represented. Heritage management must consider both the integrity of assets and the social conditions that sustain practices and memories.

4 Tourism, Historical Interpretation, and Cultural Experiences

Tourism can be understood as a social, cultural, economic, and territorial phenomenon. It involves travel, services, consumption, and income generation, but also encounters, learning, expectations, representations, and relationships between visitors and communities. Funari and Pinsky (2001) discuss the relationship between Tourism and cultural heritage, while Barretto (2000) highlights the importance of cultural legacy and planning. These approaches move beyond a narrow view focused on attractions and recognize that tourism experiences are mediated by narratives, infrastructure, social relations, and preservation policies.

Cultural Tourism may involve museums, archives, memory centers, architectural ensembles, archaeological sites, landscapes, festivals, religious practices, gastronomy, crafts, music, and other ways of life. This diversity shows that heritage is not limited to objects to be observed. It is part of social experiences and meaning-making. Visiting a market, for example, may provide opportunities to discuss commercial practices, food, labor, migration, sociability, and urban change; an itinerary through an industrial area may address technology, labor relations, urbanization, environmental impacts, and workers' memories.

Heritage interpretation is crucial if visits are to move beyond contemplation. Murta and Albano (2002) describe interpretation as a practice of looking, communication, and meaning-making around heritage. Costa (2015) also connects Cultural Tourism, interpretation, and professional development. Interpretation does not simply provide chronological data; it establishes connections among evidence, contexts, and questions relevant to different audiences. Mediation may combine documents, photographs, maps, objects, testimonies, audiovisual resources, and direct observation of the landscape.



A museum visit, for example, can be organized around questions: how were the objects selected? Who owned them? How were they used? Why were they preserved? Which groups appear in the exhibition? Which experiences are not represented? The museum itself thus becomes an object of investigation. The selection and arrangement of objects are interpretive choices, and the exhibition can be analyzed as a narrative about the past. Contributions from museology and Cultural History help explain how objects change function when incorporated into collections and presented to the public (Roche, 2000).

Archives can also be part of cultural experiences, provided that activities respect conservation requirements, access conditions, and documentary context. Administrative records, newspapers, letters, maps, and photographs can offer other perspectives on places visited. A historical walk can compare the present landscape with old images; an exhibition can connect an object with records of its production and use; and an itinerary can include testimonies from residents and workers while explaining the circumstances in which they were produced. Combining sources broadens interpretation and helps prevent a single narrative from being treated as the whole story.

Engagement with oral sources requires ethical and methodological care. Accounts are not simple repositories of facts, but interpretations developed by people from their experiences and the present in which they narrate them. Portelli (1997) emphasizes the distinctive nature of Oral History and the importance of the meanings contained in testimonies. Interviews should be conducted with consent, respect for privacy, and clarity about how the material will be used. Residents' participation in itineraries and exhibitions must be built through dialogue, not through the unilateral appropriation of their stories.

Tourism interpretation can also take place through cultural landscapes and territorial itineraries. Landscapes provide evidence of relationships between society and nature, land use, work organization, and urban transformations. A historical reading of an urban center can connect buildings, roads, squares, commercial areas, religious spaces, and residential neighborhoods. Rather than presenting each site as an isolated unit, an itinerary can show the relationships among places and the processes that shaped the territory.

The ICOMOS International Charter on Cultural Tourism (1999) emphasizes the need to manage tourism at heritage sites in ways that respect cultural significance and conserve assets. This establishes an important principle: tourism must be compatible with the continuity of heritage and with the rights of the groups that produce and experience it. An increase in visitor numbers cannot be the sole measure of success. Impacts on everyday life, accessibility, conservation, benefit-sharing, and community autonomy must also be considered.



In Brazil, Law No. 11,771/2008 links the National Tourism Policy to economic and social development, appreciation of cultural diversity, and the participation of communities and traditional populations (Brazil, 2008). The Ministry of Tourism publication Cultural Tourism: Basic Guidelines (Brazil, 2010) also provides a reference for understanding this sector and its relationship with cultural assets. These frameworks do not guarantee responsible practices by themselves, but they establish parameters for planning policies and actions.

It is important to distinguish visiting from an educational experience. Visiting may be limited to the rapid consumption of images, repetition of information, or the search for photographic records. An educational experience does not necessarily depend on a formal lesson, but it does require conditions in which audiences can observe, ask questions, compare interpretations, and recognize the historicity of a place. Mediation should respect visitors' time and diverse interests without turning heritage into a mere teaching tool or disregarding the recreational dimension.

Digital technology expands communication resources through interactive maps, digitized collections, audio guides, and memory platforms. However, digital availability does not eliminate the need for critical scrutiny: provenance, authorship, context, and selection criteria must be checked. Digitization can also reproduce inequalities when only part of a collection is made available or when groups with limited access to technology are excluded. Digital resources should complement, rather than automatically replace, contact with territories and communities.

Historical interpretation in Tourism is therefore a practice of mediation among sources, places, narratives, and audiences. Its quality is measured not only by the amount of information conveyed, but by its ability to reveal relationships, make choices explicit, accommodate different experiences, and promote contextualized understanding. The aim is not to turn every visitor into a specialist, but to create conditions for a more critical, informed, and respectful relationship with heritage.

5 History Education and Tourism in Promoting Sociocultural Development

The connection between History Education and Tourism can contribute to sociocultural development when it recognizes that territories are lived in by communities and that heritage is connected to rights, practices, memories, and forms of participation. Development in this context should not be measured solely by visitor growth, revenue, or the number of businesses. It must also take into account broader access to culture, stronger local capacities, the transmission of knowledge, appreciation of diversity, preservation of cultural references, and residents' participation in decision-making.



The Federal Constitution recognizes education, culture, and leisure as social rights and establishes that the State must guarantee the full exercise of cultural rights and access to the sources of national culture (Brazil, 1988). This provides a basis for treating access to heritage not as a privilege for those with money and mobility, but as a dimension of citizenship. People do not have equal opportunities to travel, visit museums, take part in itineraries, or access cultural facilities. Costs, transportation, physical and communication accessibility, location, opening hours, and available activities all influence participation.

Democratizing Cultural Tourism therefore involves both expanding provision and addressing concrete barriers. Public policies can promote educational visits for schools and community groups, support local cultural initiatives, ensure accessibility, connect transport with memory institutions, and enable residents to discover and interpret the heritage of their own territories. Tourism should not be designed only for outside visitors; it can also strengthen residents' right to the city, culture, and leisure.

History Education contributes by developing critical interpretive skills. When students, residents, and visitors learn to examine sources and narratives, they can understand that local identities are historically constructed and that representations of the past result from choices. This can foster more informed participation in debates about preservation, the use of public spaces, the creation of itineraries, the recognition of cultural assets, and memory policies. Historical knowledge does not eliminate conflict, but it can make conflicts more visible and improve public discussion.

Community participation is essential. Communities should not be reduced to scenery, attractions, or sources of authenticity for consumption. They produce and hold cultural references and must take part in defining objectives, narratives, forms of visitation, and the distribution of benefits. Participation is not limited to consulting residents after a project has been completed: it involves decision-making power, recognition of local knowledge, fair compensation when work is involved, and the ability to disagree with how their experiences are presented.

Tourism-related appreciation can create opportunities for employment, income, and continuity of cultural practices, but it can also have contradictory effects. Coriolano (2005) draws attention to simultaneous processes of inclusion and exclusion associated with Tourism. The concentration of benefits among external actors, rising prices, transformation of residential areas, pressure on natural resources, and adaptation of cultural practices to market expectations can undermine sociocultural goals. Planning must examine who benefits, who bears the costs, and what changes occur in everyday life.

An important risk is the commodification of heritage, when economic value becomes the dominant guide for decisions. Commercialization is not inherently incompatible with preservation



or tourism; the problem arises when cultural meanings are subordinated to profitability and assets are treated merely as products. Choay (2001) helps problematize the relationship between heritage and consumption. Responsible management must balance conservation, public access, economic sustainability, and respect for the values attributed by communities.

Another risk is the folklorization of cultures. By presenting groups as bearers of unchanging traditions, tourism communication can erase conflict, transformation, and contemporary life. Cultures are dynamic; their members reinterpret practices, incorporate elements, and negotiate meanings. Presenting them as fixed spectacles can reinforce stereotypes and restrict people's autonomy. Historical interpretation must show processes, contexts, and changes, avoiding the transformation of cultural diversity into an exotic image.

It is also necessary to confront the silencing of groups and narratives. Itineraries and exhibitions may privilege political elites, monumental architecture, and official events, while giving less attention to workers, women, Black and Indigenous peoples, migrants, rural communities, and groups whose records have been less well preserved. Including these experiences should not be merely symbolic. It requires research, a search for diverse sources, community listening, and recognition that some collections are incomplete or were formed through unequal power relations.

Criticism of heritage narratives does not mean replacing one single history with another. The aim is to recognize plurality and encourage evidence-based comparison among interpretations. A monument can be understood as an expression of a particular political project and, at the same time, as an object that acquired new meanings over time. A former factory may represent professional pride to some residents and exploitation or accidents to others. Mediation can make room for these differences, contextualizing them without imposing false harmony.

The connection between History Education and Tourism can also strengthen relationships among schools, universities, museums, archives, preservation agencies, community organizations, and tourism professionals. Collaborative projects can produce participatory inventories, exhibitions, itineraries, teaching materials, digital collections, and historical walks. When planned jointly, these activities bring research closer to social life and broaden the circulation of knowledge produced in academic institutions.

Sociocultural sustainability therefore depends on criteria that go beyond tourism promotion. These include effective community participation; plural narratives; accessibility; protection of heritage assets; respect for image, memory, and privacy rights; fair distribution of benefits; assessment of impacts on everyday life; and the possibility of revising projects in light of new evidence and demands. History Education offers tools to interpret and evaluate narratives;



Tourism organizes experiences of encounter and circulation; and public policies can create conditions for access, preservation, and participation.

This relationship should not be understood as an automatic formula. Tourism can support cultural initiatives or make their situation worse, depending on the context. Likewise, an educational activity can reproduce exclusionary narratives if it does not question sources and selection criteria. The potential for sociocultural development emerges when critical interpretation, territorial planning, cultural rights, and community participation are linked on an ongoing basis.

6 Methodological Possibilities and Territorial Application

Since this article is theoretical and does not focus on a specific empirical territory, this section presents a methodological proposal to guide future research and History Education and Cultural Tourism projects. Application should begin by selecting a territory—a neighborhood, municipality, rural community, industrial area, historic ensemble, or cultural landscape—based on research questions and dialogue with local actors. The boundaries should not be defined solely by the presence of well-known attractions, but also by historical relevance, diversity of experiences, and the possibility of accessing sources.

The first stage is a preliminary survey of the territory. Sources may include master plans, heritage inventories, municipal legislation, maps, photographs, newspapers, legislative minutes, administrative documents, institutional archives, family collections, association records, museum collections, and local scholarship. Research should identify not only officially recognized assets, but also places and practices considered significant by different groups. The absence of documentation about certain experiences should be recorded as a limitation and, where possible, addressed by seeking other sources.

The second stage involves creating a critical inventory. For each cultural reference, it is recommended to record identification, location, description, known chronology, uses and transformations, available sources, related groups, attributed meanings, conservation status, and existing controversies. The inventory should not present heritage designation as a purely technical decision: it is important to explain who nominated the asset, which criteria were used, and which people participated. Community participation can broaden the range of references and reveal places absent from institutional surveys.

The third stage is source analysis. Written documents should be examined in terms of authorship, purpose, institutional context, language, circulation, and preservation. Photographs require attention to authorship, dating, framing, represented subjects, and original purpose. Objects should be investigated in terms of materials, techniques, uses, circulation, appropriation, and



musealization. Interviews require informed consent, respect for privacy, contextualization of accounts, and clarity about how they will be used. Maps and landscapes make it possible to observe changes in land use, movement, and spatial configuration. Comparing sources helps identify convergences, contradictions, silences, and changes.

The fourth stage consists of analyzing how the territory is represented in existing tourism practices. Official itineraries, promotional materials, interpretive signs, websites, audio guides, exhibitions, guided visits, and outreach publications may be examined. Guiding questions include: which historical periods receive the most attention? Which people are represented? What sources support the information? Which conflicts and transformations are presented or omitted? How do communities participate in producing narratives? Are the materials accessible to different audiences? Answering these questions requires documentary analysis and, where possible, observation of practices and interviews with organizers and participants.

The fifth stage involves collaboratively developing interpretive proposals. Historical walks, thematic itineraries, exhibitions, memory maps, podcasts, digital collections, and school activities can be created from the research findings. The format should take into account heritage conservation, access conditions, community interests, and long-term feasibility. An itinerary should not be created merely to increase visitor numbers: its cultural and educational aims, expected impacts, and participation mechanisms must be discussed.

A historical walk, for example, can connect public buildings, workplaces, religious spaces, places of sociability, and transformed landscapes. At each stop, mediation can present sources and questions rather than simply offering fixed information. Comparing an old photograph with the current landscape may raise questions about urbanization, mobility, and changing uses. An itinerary on labor can combine business records, newspapers, objects, interviews, and workers' memories. A museum activity can compare the exhibition narrative with documents that clarify the provenance and uses of objects.

The educational dimension can be strengthened through activities before and after the visit. Before the route, students and participants can formulate hypotheses, locate the territory on maps, and analyze preliminary sources. During the visit, they can observe, record, compare, and conduct interviews while respecting ethical principles. Afterwards, they can organize evidence, discuss alternative interpretations, and produce texts, exhibitions, or digital materials. This cycle prevents the visit from becoming an isolated activity and encourages reflection on how historical knowledge is constructed.

Evaluation of an initiative should include qualitative and quantitative indicators. In addition to participation and visitor numbers, it may consider the diversity of people involved, accessibility, residents' satisfaction, preservation of cultural references, perceived learning,



distribution of economic benefits, and changes in the representation of previously less visible groups. Listening and review mechanisms should be established so that communities and participants can identify problems and suggest adjustments.

The methodological proposal requires ethical care. Interviews, photographs, and records of cultural practices must respect consent, privacy, and usage rights. Restricted or sacred knowledge should not automatically be disclosed simply because it is considered attractive. Communities should be able to negotiate the terms of representation and, where appropriate, refuse the disclosure of particular information. Research and tourism interpretation must recognize that not every memory is available for public consumption.

Finally, territorial application should connect local evidence with broader historical processes. An itinerary addressing immigration, for example, should avoid exclusively celebratory narratives and consider labor, settlement policies, relationships with earlier populations, conflicts, economic networks, and internal diversity within groups. An industrial territory can be analyzed through the relationships among production, urbanization, labor, environment, and memory. The strength of this proposal lies in combining historical rigor, social participation, and accessible communication without turning the complexity of the past into promotional narrative.

7 Final Considerations

This article has discussed possibilities for connecting History Education, territory, memory, cultural heritage, and Tourism, considering memory sites and cultural experiences as potential opportunities to interpret the past. The research question was under what conditions this connection can contribute to plural appreciation of heritage and sociocultural development without reproducing inequalities, silences, or commodification. The analysis supports the conclusion that such a contribution is possible, but depends on critical mediation, community participation, inclusive policies, and a commitment to preservation and cultural rights.

History Education broadens the understanding of learning by emphasizing source inquiry, the construction of evidence, and interpretation of the relationships between past, present, and future. Archives, museums, objects, photographs, landscapes, testimonies, and places are not mere illustrations of a ready-made history: they can be sources and objects of investigation when contextualized and critically examined. Historical consciousness helps explain how narratives about the past shape identities, decisions, and expectations, making it important to examine who produces them, what evidence supports them, and which experiences remain underrepresented.

Territory should be understood as a historically produced and lived space, shaped by power relations, everyday practices, economic activities, memories, and senses of belonging. Cultural



heritage, in turn, results from processes of selection and value attribution. Preservation is not a neutral operation: it involves decisions about what will be transmitted, which people will be recognized, and which narratives will circulate publicly. A democratic heritage policy must therefore consider tangible and intangible assets, cultural plurality, memory conflicts, and effective community participation.

Tourism can bring people, places, and experiences together, broaden access to heritage, and create economic and cultural opportunities. However, visiting does not automatically become learning, and growth in tourism does not guarantee sociocultural development. When driven exclusively by consumption, Tourism can contribute to the commodification of assets, folklorization of cultures, territorial exclusion, and erasure of conflicting memories. Historical interpretation, combined with responsible planning, helps address these risks by presenting sources, contextualizing processes, and recognizing diverse experiences.

The methodological possibilities presented—documentary surveys, critical inventories, interviews, analysis of itineraries, historical walks, exhibitions, and educational activities—can support projects rooted in particular territories. Applying these proposals requires empirical research, dialogue with memory institutions, and community participation from the outset. Since no specific case study was conducted here, assessing the concrete effects of these actions remains an agenda for future research, which may compare territories and examine educational, social, and cultural outcomes.

From a public policy perspective, the connection between History Education and Tourism calls for the integration of education, culture, leisure, preservation, and territorial planning. Accessibility must be expanded, local initiatives supported, professionals trained, residents' participation ensured, and the distribution of benefits evaluated. Archives, museums, and memory centers should also be strengthened as institutions for research, preservation, and communication, while acknowledging their limitations and the selection processes that shape their collections.

The main contribution of the proposed connection is not to turn every visitor into a formal student or reduce heritage to a tourism resource. It is to create conditions in which encounters with places, objects, landscapes, and narratives deepen historical understanding and civic participation. Tourism can provide experience and circulation; History Education offers tools for interpretation and questioning; and heritage policies and cultural rights create conditions for access, preservation, and participation. When these dimensions are connected critically and democratically, territory ceases to be merely a backdrop for visits and can become a shared space for knowledge, memory, and sociocultural development.

References



- ALBERTI, Verena. **Manual de história oral**. 3. ed. Rio de Janeiro: FGV, 2005.
- BARRETTO, Margarita. **Turismo e legado cultural**: as possibilidades do planejamento. Campinas: Papirus, 2000.
- BELLOTTTO, Heloisa Liberalli. **Arquivos permanentes**: tratamento documental. 4. ed. Rio de Janeiro: FGV, 2006.
- BLOCH, Marc. **Apologia da história ou ofício de historiador**. Rio de Janeiro: Jorge Zahar, 2001.
- BRASIL. **Constituição da República Federativa do Brasil de 1988**. Brasília, DF: Presidência da República, 1988.
- BRASIL. Lei nº 11.771, de 17 de setembro de 2008. **Dispõe sobre a Política Nacional de Turismo**. Brasília, DF: Presidência da República, 2008.
- BRASIL. Ministério do Turismo. **Turismo cultural**: orientações básicas. Brasília, DF: Ministério do Turismo, 2010.
- CHOAY, Françoise. **A alegoria do patrimônio**. Tradução de Luciano Vieira Machado. São Paulo: Estação Liberdade: Editora UNESP, 2001.
- CORIOLOANO, Luzia Neide Menezes Teixeira. A exclusão e a inclusão social e o turismo. PASOS: **Revista de Turismo y Patrimonio Cultural**, v. 3, n. 2, p. 295-304, 2005. DOI: 10.25145/j.pasos.2005.03.024.
- COSTA, Flávia Roberta. **Turismo e patrimônio cultural**: interpretação e qualificação. 2. ed. São Paulo: Senac São Paulo; Edições SESC, 2015.
- FONSECA, Maria Cecília Londres. Para além da pedra e cal: por uma concepção ampla de patrimônio cultural. In: ABREU, Regina; CHAGAS, Mário (org.). **Memória e patrimônio**: ensaios contemporâneos. Rio de Janeiro: DP&A, 2003.
- FUNARI, Pedro Paulo; PELEGRINI, Sandra C. A. **Patrimônio histórico e cultural**. Rio de Janeiro: Zahar, 2006.
- FUNARI, Pedro Paulo; PINSKY, Jaime (org.). **Turismo e patrimônio cultural**. São Paulo: Contexto, 2001.
- HAESBAERT, Rogério. **O mito da desterritorialização**: do “fim dos territórios” à multiterritorialidade. Rio de Janeiro: Bertrand Brasil, 2004.
- HALBWACHS, Maurice. **A memória coletiva**. São Paulo: Vértice, 1990.
- INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL ON MONUMENTS AND SITES (ICOMOS). **Carta internacional sobre o turismo cultural**: gestão do turismo nos sítios com significado patrimonial. México, 1999.
- INSTITUTO DO PATRIMÔNIO HISTÓRICO E ARTÍSTICO NACIONAL (IPHAN). **Educação patrimonial**: histórico, conceitos e processos. Brasília, DF: IPHAN, 2014.
- LE GOFF, Jacques. **História e memória**. Tradução de Bernardo Leitão et al. Campinas, SP: Editora da UNICAMP, 1990.
- LEE, Peter. Em direção a um conceito de literacia histórica. **Educar em Revista**, Curitiba, n. esp., p. 131-150, 2006.
- MURTA, Stela Maris; ALBANO, Celina (org.). **Interpretar o patrimônio**: um exercício do olhar. Belo Horizonte: Editora UFMG, 2002.
- NORA, Pierre. **Entre memória e história**: a problemática dos lugares. Projeto História, São Paulo, n. 10, p. 7-28, dez. 1993.
- POLLAK, Michael. Memória, esquecimento, silêncio. Estudos Históricos, Rio de Janeiro, v. 2, n. 3, p. 3-15, 1989.
- PORTELLI, Alessandro. O que faz a história oral diferente. **Projeto História**, São Paulo, n. 14, p. 25-39, 1997.
- ROCHE, Daniel. **História das coisas banais**: nascimento do consumo nas sociedades do século XVII ao XIX. Rio de Janeiro: Rocco, 2000.
- RÜSEN, Jörn. **Razão histórica**: teoria da história: os fundamentos da ciência histórica. Tradução de Estevão de Rezende Martins. Brasília, DF: Editora Universidade de Brasília, 2001.
- SANTOS, Milton. **A natureza do espaço**: técnica e tempo, razão e emoção. 4. ed. São Paulo: Editora da Universidade de São Paulo, 2006.
- SCHMIDT, Maria Auxiliadora Moreira dos Santos; GARCIA, Tânia Maria F. Braga. A formação da consciência histórica de alunos e professores e o cotidiano em aulas de História. **Cadernos CEDES**, Campinas, v. 25, n. 67, p. 297-308, 2005.
- SCHMIDT, Maria Auxiliadora; URBAN, Ana Cláudia. Aprendizagem e formação da consciência histórica: possibilidades de pesquisas em Educação Histórica. **Educar em Revista**, Curitiba, n. 60, p. 17-42, abr./jun. 2016.